

Indicator Discussion Summary From June 20 PAC

Criteria 1: Conservation of Biological Diversity

| No. | Indicator |
|------------|---|
| 1 | Gross landbase for forest cover by natural subregion |
| 2a | Gross landbase area by cover group / seral stage at future points in time |
| 2b | Net landbase area by cover group / seral stage at future points in time |
| 3 | Harvested block shape index distribution by company |
| 4 | Cut block size distribution by company |
| 5 | Second growth patch size distribution by seral stage at future points in time |
| 6 | Interior old forest core area by cover group at future points in time |
| 7 | Annual achieved retention by company |
| 8 | In-situ and future dead wood structure in cut blocks |
| 9 | Participation in provincially driven regional planning initiatives surrounding high value species (caribou and grizzly) |
| 10 | Recovery team population estimates for Grizzly and Caribou |
| New | Available patch size old growth conifer & deciduous for indicator song birds: CAWA, BRGR, BTNW, OVEN, BBWA |
| New | Adherence to range plan harvest targets within Caribou range |
| New | Density of open roads (km/km ²) not to exceed minimum set threshold within identified Grizzly Bear range |
| New | Percent of identified biological, geological, heritage, and cultural sites with implemented management strategies |
| New | Percent of planned blocks assessed for biological, geological, heritage, and cultural potential |
| New | Retention of American Marten habitat as defined by Habitat Suitability Index |

| | |
|------------|--|
| New | The amount of potential Barred Owl habitat for breeding pairs will not be lower than 10% of current levels across the defined forest area. |
| 11 | % of Govt identified Swan nesting lakes meeting required harvest rules |
| 12 | Area of identified HCVF (High Conservation Value Forest) features by type |
| 13 | Area of CRN (Continuous Reserve Network) |
| 14 | Area of government created protected areas |
| 15 | Habitat suitability indices for selected species at future points in time. (GOVERNMENT led) |
| 16 | Annual percentage of native species regeneration by company |
| 17 | Area of in-situ reserves by seed zone by tree species |
| 18 | Number of penalties and/or audit findings concerning failures to follow provincial tree improvement standards. |
| 19 | Annual percent of genetically modified (GMO) planted trees (by company) |
| 20 | Number of opportunities for adjacent stakeholders to voice input |
| C1 | Minimize access to help landscape scale biodiversity – Open all-weather road by sub-unit |
| C2 | Minimize access to help landscape scale biodiversity – Open seasonal temporary forest road length |
| C3 | Maintain uncommon plant communities on the FMA |
| C4 | Retain Areas of unsalvaged burned forest |
| C5 | Retain Areas of unsalvaged blowdown from wind throw events |
| C6 | Comply with Ground Rules concerning protection of riparian areas |

Criteria 2: Maintenance and enhancement of forest ecosystem condition and productivity

| No. | Indicator |
|------------|---|
| 21 | Annual percent of sites identified as requiring protection that received appropriate protection by company |
| 22 | Percent of identified insect and disease areas scheduled for harvest |
| 23 | Annual Reforestation Report by Company. (Percentage of cutblocks achieving required reforestation standard) |
| 24 | Opportunities for other industries to consult on footprint issues (ILM activity) |
| 25 | Annual forest landbase balance |
| 26 | Annual allowable cut (AAC) compared to annual production (by company) |
| C7 | Control of non-native plant species |

Criteria 3: Conservation of Soil and Water Resources

| No. | Indicator |
|------------|--|
| 28 | Annual percent of harvest blocks without reportable rutting issues (by company) |
| 29 | Annual percentage of forest area disturbed by roads and landings (by company) |
| 30 | Annual number of significant surface erosion events related to silviculture, harvesting, and road activities by company |
| 31 | % of temporary road (km) within cutblocks deactivated satisfactorily within 6 months following end of usage. |
| 32 | Annual number of reportable spills (by Company) |
| 33 | Annual percentage of temporary crossings that meet all standards |
| 34 | Annual percentage of active permanent crossings inspected |
| 36 | Annual percentage of inspected crossings that achieve a low SCQI index rating (Stream Crossing Quality Index) |

| | |
|-----------|---|
| 37 | Percentage of sampled blocks with buffers in place as required by government |
| 38 | Percentage of sampled blocks with no harvest area located within incised valleys or on slumping soils |
| 39 | Periodic ECA value by PFRA Watershed |