

**DMI Response and Action Plan to the May 2008 PAC Process Review
August 13, 2008**

The following items are taken from the PAC review of process dated May 10, 2008. Individual items have been clipped from the letter and organized into like responses. The information contained within are proposed reactions to be tabled for discussion and possible editing at the PAC meeting August 13, 2008.

Item 1:

*Tours
Education*

<i>PRPD Interpretation</i>	<i>PRPD Comment</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Timeline</i>
PAC enjoyed tours and education component		Continue mixing these elements into the 'curriculum'	Ongoing

Item 2:

Tonight's activities should have happened sooner

Would like to see a quarterly review of PAC process

<i>PRPD Interpretation</i>	<i>PRPD Comment</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Timeline</i>
Terms of Reference request	Any member is able to motion at any meeting to change the TOR.	Have members pass the motion to meet the request	September 2008

Item 3:

Tech. Literature. (would like it summarized into plain English)

We feel VOIT list is not complete and isn't reviewed often enough

Most PAC members are not 100% sure why they are here

Want more time to tell DMI what is important to us, and then they can turn it into VOIT's

Need to review and finish VOIT list

We need evidence of how our needs (VOIT's) are being addressed

What is on the list of values we made up?

<i>PRPD Interpretation</i>	<i>PRPD Comment</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Timeline</i>
There is general confusion around VOITs		Identify VOITs with sufficient time and resources to make the PAC comfortable with the outcome.	December 2008

Item 4:

PAC has little input into process

Meetings are too regimented and we feel dictated to.

We want less time constraints on meetings

<i>PRPD Interpretation</i>	<i>PRPD Comment</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Timeline</i>
Terms of Reference change request	PRPD went to great lengths to allow PAC to define itself	PAC members will pass motion(s) to change TOR to	Ongoing

	<p>through the TOR. The first 4 meetings were almost entirely devoted to this.</p> <p>Any member can motion to change the TOR at any meeting.</p> <p>The short timelines to complete DFMPs necessitates efficient meeting schedules and we are sorry if that feels too regimented – we are open for suggestions on how to improve this.</p> <p>PRPD has restated at every meeting that we are willing to meet whenever for whatever time frame works for the PAC – this is a decision the PAC has control of.</p>	<p>meet the needs</p>	
--	---	-----------------------	--

Item 5:

Pay expenses at least quarterly

<i>PRPD Interpretation</i>	<i>PRPD Comment</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Timeline</i>
		PRPD will pay expenses quarterly	August 2008

Item 6:

The PAC should be one group, not separated into First Nations' and others

<i>PRPD Interpretation</i>	<i>PRPD Comment</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Timeline</i>
	PRPD would prefer one consultation process but the reality is that it will not happen.	PRPD will share VOIT information as appropriate between stakeholders.	Ongoing
	First Nations are independent governments that define their own preferred consultation processes. PRPD has made a determined effort to bring all groups to one PAC. It will not happen in the foreseeable future.	PRPD will convene a stakeholders workshop to discuss/resolve potential conflicts in VOITs during the final stages of strategy selection	February 2009
	In order to meet CSA Z809		

	requirement to consult public for depth and breadth of views/stakeholders DMI will continue to offer various forms of consultation.		
--	--	--	--